

**BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLLES PARISH COUNCIL: PLANNING COMMITTEE held in the John Rylie Centre at 6:30 pm on Monday 19<sup>th</sup> November 2018**

**PRESENT:** Councillors Phil Maude (Chair), Claire Hassell, Glyn Davies, Howard Bedford, Joanne Austin, Karen Dales, Neil Beaumont, Paul Remmer, one resident and the Clerk.

- 1 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:** None.
- 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** Cllr. Beaumont regarding item 5 iii
- 3 **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:** It was **resolved** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> October 2018 having been circulated, be approved.
- 4 **PLANNING APPLICATIONS:**

Application number & date	Applicant	Description
18/05972/FU/NE 22 October 2018	21 Arthursdale Grange	Single storey rear extension
<p><b>Planning Committee decision</b> After careful consideration, the committee decided to raise <b>no objection</b> to this application.</p>		
18/06058/TR 26 September 2018	Land Adjacent to Main Street Scholes	T1 and T2 Beech - All round Crown reduction and lift to 6m
<p><b>Planning Committee decision</b> These trees are on Parish Council land. A quote had been sought from a local contractor who had submitted the Planning Application as part of his quotation process. It was felt that further information was needed for the Planning Committee to make a decision and therefore the contractor would be invited to the next meeting to help the Committee make an informed decision.</p>		
18/06813/FU/NE 14 November 2018	The Bungalow, 12 Main Street	Variation of condition number 10 and removal of condition numbers 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 of planning application 17/04808/FU (demolition of existing buildings and construction of three terraced dwellings)
<p><b>Planning Committee decision</b> The committee had <b>no objections</b> to the variation of conditions 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 18 of this application nor to the removal of condition 10. Condition 3 related to archaeological matters and the Committee felt that the Local Planning Authority should seek the advice of Historic England i.and refuse to remove this condition.</p>		

**5. Updates (if any) on issues previously discussed**

**i. 300 House Appeal by GMI**

Plans for the drop-in on 24<sup>th</sup> November were discussed. Cllr. Remmer has printed plenty of plans and Cllr. Maude has the proofs of evidence. Cllr. Remmer was getting these copied (and was expecting them to arrive on 22<sup>nd</sup> November). Refreshments would be provided, pins would be needed. Eleven A3-sided drawings

would show the East Leeds Orbital Road. Cllr. Maude would bring a supply of pens. Cllr. Maude was collecting completed questionnaires. Cllr. Dales would attend the event being run on 26<sup>th</sup> November by Scholes Community Forum. It was noted that some comments about the event had been made on Social Media. A Highways statement of Common Ground had been circulated. Cllr. Maude had circulated documents to the witnesses and will circulate these to the Committee.

- ii. **Site off Rakehill Road** – the Chair gave the resident present an opportunity to express his concerns to the Committee about this site. The resident questioned the claim that this site was being used for agricultural purposes and felt that the character of the walk along Rakehill Road was spoilt by the existing buildings. Some of these had been up for many years but he felt that the Planning Enforcement system wasn't working to deal with more recent problems. He had written to the officer dealing with enforcement action and not had a reply and suggested that escalation to a senior officer be considered. He noted that there were cows in the adjacent field and that there was a token amount of agricultural equipment on the site but there had also been vehicles which could not be so described including a digger, a low-loader and a transporter. He also reported that there had been fires and a noxious smell. Cllr. Maude had visited the site ahead of the meeting and agreed that the equipment present was not consistent with the claim of agricultural use. The resident was advised to collect as much evidence as possible to support enforcement action and to pass this to the Clerk for consideration at a future meeting. It was also noted that the Committee would consider a planning application on the site at its next meeting
- iii. **East Leeds Orbital Road (ELOR)**  
The latest letter from Adam Brannen (Head of Regeneration) had been circulated. There would be an agenda item at the next Parish Council meeting to consider a response to the letter
- iv. **Wedding site at Bog Lane** – nothing further to report since the last meeting.
- v. **Chartford Homes**  
Additional car parking space had been offered, Cllr. Maude would respond to this to seek reassurance that access to the first garage isn't compromised.
- vi. **Capitol Garage** – nothing further to report since the last meeting.
- vii. **Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)**  
Cllr. Maude had held a meeting with Leeds Councillor Gruen who was non-committal on the use of CIL funding from the East Leeds Extension to support a country park and help preserve the open space between Stanks and Scholes. Cllr Maude said it was important to ensure that any plans did not make the existing agricultural use of this land uneconomic and he was seeking a meeting with a local farmer to discuss this in more detail. There was also some discussion about the future of retail provision in east Leeds following the opening of The Springs and the relocation of some major stores to that location from existing centres. In particular some concern was expressed for the future of Cross Gates Shopping Centre. This would be an agenda item for the next Parish Council meeting.

## 6. DATE OF NEXT MEETING – 17<sup>th</sup> December 2018

The meeting closed at 8:20pm