**BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLES PARISH COUNCIL: PLANNING COMMITTEE held in Scholes Sports Pavilion at 6:30 pm on Monday 14th October 2019**

**PRESENT**: Councillors Phil Maude (Chair), Claire Hassell, Graham Slater, Howard Bedford, Joanne Austin, Karen Dales and the Clerk.

**1** **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.** Cllr. Davies.

**2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** None.

**3 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:** It was **resolved** that the minutes of the Planning Committeemeeting held on 16th September 2019 having been circulated, be approved and that the Chair sign the minutes.

**4** **PLANNING APPLICATIONS:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Application number**  **& date** | **Applicant** | **Description** |
| **APP/N4720/W/19/3233784**  **16 September 2019** | **Land at Garforth Golf Range** | **Demolition of existing buildings and construction of a crematorium with associated access, car parking and landscaping** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  Cllr. Bedford had circulated a suggested response for consideration. There was discussion regarding highways issues (especially the narrow bridge) and effect on footpaths and bridleways. It was suggested that the applicant’s arguments about minimal impact of traffic were inconsistent with the proposal for a car park for seventy-five cars. It was noted that there was no footway access to the site and that this was an enclosed site without separate access points for incoming and outgoing traffic. The need for a crematorium to serve the east side of Leeds was questioned. It was agreed to submit Cllr Bedford’s response as amended to refer to the matters raised. There would be an informal hearing on 12th November 2019 and Cllr. Bedford agreed to attend. | | |
| **19/05655/FU/NE**  **17 September 2019** | **Canine Rehoming Centre, Woodlands Farm, York Road** | **Installation of sculpture to centre** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  It was noted that this was in the greenbelt but that the proposed sculpture would not be visible from the road. It was decided to raise **no** **objection** to this application but to comment on the increased danger caused by traffic to the site exiting the A64 and suggesting that Leeds City Council consider introduction of a turning lane. | | |
| **19/05903/FU/NE**  **24 September 2019** | **2 Station Road** | **Three dormer windows to side, dormer window and roof lights to other side; insertion of windows and bi folding doors** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  Concerns were expressed that this proposal would have windows overlooking adjacent properties. There was no suggestion in the proposal for privacy glass and as such, the Committee felt that it could not support the proposal and therefore decided to **object**. | | |
| **19/05615/FU/NE**  **2 October 2019** | **10 Elmet Drive** | **Single storey extension to side and rear; pitched roof to existing rear extension** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  Although it was noted that this would leave very little room at the side, it was decided to raise **no** **objection** to this application. | | |
| **19/06004/FU/NE**  **2 October 2019** | **18 Gascoigne Avenue** | **Part two storey and part single storey extension to side and rear, linking to Outbuilding at rear; alterations to Outbuilding** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  This property had previously been extended significantly and the proposed extension would make this very long from front to back. Concern was expressed regarding run-off and at the lack of detail in the application. It was noted that due to the slope, the extension would be well screened. It was felt this proposal was over-development with an adverse effect on neighbouring properties and as such the Committee decided to **object**. | | |
| **19/05504/FU/NE**  **3 October 2019** | **Land West of Taylor Lane** | **Construction of stable building** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  Concern was expressed regarding the proposed location with possible detrimental effect on a public right of way and that it would be visible on the skyline affecting the openness of the greenbelt location. There was uncertainty whether there was an electrical supply to the site although there appeared to be photovoltaic panels. It was **resolved** to object to this application on the grounds of the siting and concerns that the access statement was inaccurate and that the effect on traffic of this proposal had therefore not been properly considered. | | |
| **19/06131/FU/NE**  **7 October 2019** | **29 Milton Drive** | **Dormer windows to rear** |
| **Planning Committee decision**  This looked to be a loft conversation along the length of the roof. It was decided to raise **no** **objection** to this application. | | |

1. **Updates on issues previously discussed**
   1. **Scholes Developments**

No further news since the last Committee meeting.

* 1. **Chartford Homes**

Cllr. Maude had circulated an e-mail regarding this, the contents of which were noted.

* 1. **Site off Rakehill Road**

Cllr. Maude reported that he had received useful information about the operator of this site which might be useful evidence for Planning Enforcement officers and that he had a forthcoming meeting with one of the Ward Councillors and would ask him to arrange a meeting with the Council.

* 1. **Update on the Core Strategy Selective Review (CSSR)**

It was noted that Leeds City Council had adopted the CSSR at its meeting on 11th September 2019 covering to 2033.

* 1. **Capitol Garage**

This would be considered at the next meeting.

1. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING** – 18th November 2019 at 6:30pm – in the Scholes Sports Pavilion.

The meeting closed at 8:40pm