BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLES PARISH COUNCIL: PLANNING COMMITTEE held at 7pm on Monday 16th November 2020 using remote access

PRESENT: Councillors Phil Maude (Chair), Joanne Austin, Howard Bedford, Karen Dales, Glyn Davies, Dan Greenwood, Claire Hassell, one resident and the Clerk.

The Chair read out a statement outlining the procedures to be undertaken to hold a lawful and effective remote meeting.

- **1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.** Cllr. David Young. The reason for his absence was noted and approved.
- 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** Cllr. Dales made the meeting aware that there was a family relationship with the applicant of 21 Welfare Avenue.
- 3 **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:** It was **resolved** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 19th October 2020 having been circulated, be approved and that the Chair sign the minutes.

4 PLANNING APPLICATIONS:

| Application number | Applicant | Description | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| & date | | | | |
| 20/06433/LI/NE | Elmwood House, 44 Main Street | Listed Building Application for replacement of sash windows to | | |
| 14 October 2020 | | heritage sliding sash windows | | |
| It was noted that this application | ition was just to change the windows | at the back and it was resolved that the Planning Committee raise | | |
| no objection to this applicat | tion, all in favour. | | | |
| 20/06457/FU/NE | 49 Belle Vue Avenue | Single storey side/rear extension; bay window with canopy to front | | |
| 14 October 2020 | | | | |
| The Committee satisfied itse | elf that the proposed extension was r | not too close to the neighbouring property and it was resolved that the | | |
| Planning Committee raise no objection to this application, all in favour. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 20/06377/FU | 21 Welfare Avenue | Change of Use from Residential Use to Childrens Day Nursery | | |
| 19 October 2020 | | | | |
| It was noted that when the extension was built, the application at the time in 2015 was purely for a four-bedroomed house. It was also | | | | |
| noted that the former occupants of this property had now moved out and that this property would now be exclusively be a Children's | | | | |
| Day Nursery. There was concern at the lack of car parking within the curtilage of the property and loss of a residential property. There | | | | |
| had previously been a childe | are business on Chapel Lane and it | was felt that the business was meeting a need within the community | | |

| 20/06733/FU 21 October 2020 | 2 Richmondfield Lane | Single storey rear extension |
|---|--|---|
| | e Planning Committee raise no object | to the application. |
| 20/06851/FU/NE 29 October 2020 | 1 Rakehill Road, Barwick in Elm | Alterations including two storey side extension with raised balcony area to rear; gable roof extension and dormer windows with Juliet balcony to rear |
| n 2017 and that severa levelopment creep and nowever it was felt that | I of the documents relating to the 2020 I a view that had this not been an end | iving this a different look and that there had been a previous application 0 proposal were dated 2017. There was a concern that this was property, the proposed extensions would not have been supported, bjections and that the extension was the same size as the garage and it on the application. |
| | | |
| 20/04424/FU 2 November 2020 | 86 Main Street, Scholes | boundary fence, formation of patio area and installation of gates to vehicular access and new pedestrian accesses. |
| 2 November 2020 The resident addressed concerns raised. She ad ncrease in living space regarding the hedges a The revised application Assurances were given hedge would separate t that the Planning Comm | I the meeting noting the comments ma dvised the Committee that there were . The main external change would be nd advised that the revised application no longer included cladding at the rea regarding the access with the neighbor the two). It was resolved that although | fenestration, reduction in height of boundary hedges, installation of boundary fence, formation of patio area and installation of gates to |

| 20/07009/FU/NE 5 November 2020 | 25 Arthursdale Grange | Single storey side and rear extension | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| It was resolved that although there were concerns about setting precedent and that the extension was not within the building line and about the proposed size of what was proposed, the Planning Committee raise no objection to the application. | | | | |

5. UPDATES ON ISSUES PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED

a. Site off Rakehill Road

Leeds City Council (LCC) Planning Compliance had previously stated their position that they would not pursue enforcement action whilst two applications were still being considered. Both had now been refused. An email from them stating their current position had been circulated. This highlighted that the applicant has six months to appeal the refused applications. There was a query regarding timescales for action to be taken (four years for a building and ten for use of land). It was **resolved** to await developments and to pursue further with LCC Compliance as necessary after expiry of the appeal period.

b. East Leeds Extension

It was noted that a number of years ago Cllr. Hassell had attended a forum with Andrew Crates, Principal Planner, Planning Services (East) regarding the Northern Quadrant. It was agreed that it would be better to have a dedicated consultation with Andrew Crates specifically for the Parish Council. Cllr. Maude reported on progress with Taylor Wimpey (following a site visit) regarding possible dedication of two sections of the disused railway line as a public right of way.

c. Neighbourhood Plan review.

Distribution of the Neighbourhood Plan review newsletter was well underway but so far, no one had registered an interest in being a part of the Steering Group as a result. However, there had been expressions of interest prior to newsletter distribution and by personal approaches by Councillors. So far, most of the interest had been from the Scholes half of the Parish. It was agreed to give people until Christmas to register an interest view a view to first meeting after that. Cllr. Maude had approached lain MacKay (LCC Neighbourhood Plan officer) regarding Cllr. Davies' plan area which extended beyond the Parish boundaries and enquiring if permission would be needed to include areas outside the Parish in the plan. The first meeting would establish the scope of the review. It was suggested that the appeal for interested people to register an interest be extended to Social Media. Cllr. Dales agreed to draft something for posting which Cllr. Bedford would review.

d. Action Tracker for the Planning Committee

It was noted that all actions from the last Planning Committee meeting as shown on the tracker had either been completed or discussed as part of the November meeting.

6. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING** – 14th December 2020 – by remote access unless advised otherwise.

The meeting closed at 8:40pm

Signed

Chair 14th December 2020