

**BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLES PARISH COUNCIL: PLANNING COMMITTEE held at 7pm on Monday 18<sup>th</sup> January 2021 using remote access**

**PRESENT:** Councillors Phil Maude (Chair), Howard Bedford, Karen Dales, Glyn Davies, David Young one resident and the Clerk.

The Chair read out a statement outlining the procedures to be undertaken to hold a lawful and effective remote meeting.

- 1 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.** None.
- 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** None.
- 3 **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:** It was **resolved** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> December 2020 having been circulated, be approved and that the Chair sign the minutes.
- 4 **PLANNING APPLICATIONS:**

Application number & date	Applicant	Description
20/08226/TR 11 December 2020	Elmwood House, 44 Main Street Barwick In Elmet	T1Yew - Reduce height by 1.5 metres and spread by 3 metres. (see attached drawing).
It was noted that due to the deadline for comments to be submitted, that the Clerk had replied to the above using his delegated authority, <b>no objection</b>		
20/08518/FU/NE 5 January 2021	Sheri Dene, Elmwood Lane	One detached dwelling
The resident attending the meeting was given opportunity to address the Committee having earlier circulated an email. There were comments from the Committee regarding the wall at the front of the property and the number of vehicles that would be associated with a five bedroomed house and whether there was enough parking within the curtilage of the property. However, the Committee were satisfied that these concerns were not sufficient grounds for objection and it was <b>resolved</b> that the Planning Committee raise <b>no objection</b> to this application, all in favour.		
20/08610/FU/NE 20/08611/LI/NE 11 January 2021	Barn 1, Kiddal Hall	Conversion and restoration of barn to single dwelling; alterations including; two storey extension; bi-folding doors to rear; Insertion of No.16 roof lights
Concerns were expressed that this application and possible future development of this site would increase vehicles entering and leaving with the entrance on the A64, in particular, the risk caused by vehicles waiting to turn right to enter the site. It was <b>resolved</b>		

that the Planning Committee raise **no objection** to this application but draw attention to the cumulative impact of additional traffic at the site entrance especially from vehicles wishing to access the site. all in favour.

21/00006/FU/NE  
13 January 2021

Stables, Long Lane

Storage container

Cllr. Maude explained to the Committee the reasons why Barwick in Bloom were no longer considering locating the container on the allotments. It was **resolved** that the Planning Committee raise **no objection** to this application, all in favour.

## 5. UPDATES ON ISSUES PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED

### a. Site off Rakehill Road

It was noted that a meeting had been arranged with a Leeds City Council (LCC) highways officer, a local farmer and Cllr. Slater to discuss highways issues in the vicinity of this site and it was **resolved** that the Clerk write to LCC enforcement to enquire as to the latest position regarding enforcement action, all in favour.

### b. East Leeds Extension (ELE)

The unbalancing effect of development within the Parish boundary in the Northern Quadrant was considered (adding another 1500 houses). It was suggested that the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group could consider any issues arising from this. The previous week, Cllrs. Hassell and Maude had attended a consultative forum with LCC officers. The ELE is being marketed by a company called Bewonder. Cllr. Maude had arranged a telephone call for the following day to find out more information. The ELE will add around 15,000 people to the area and the potential impact on health care facilities and public transport was noted. Cllr. Maude had obtained the email address of the LCC regeneration officer in charge of coordinating provision of services and asked for her to contact him to find out more. Ward Cllr. Firth is considering the public transport issues in the bus forum which he attends.

### c. Neighbourhood Plan review.

The resident expressed interest in planning matters and accepted an invitation to join the Steering Group, Cllr. Maude would send him emails with discussion papers. The first meeting of the Group had been arranged for 7pm 27<sup>th</sup> January 2021 (remote access). The Steering Group would be Planning Committee members plus residents who had expressed an interest in membership. The first meeting would elect a Chair and a secretary. It would also consider whether to form subcommittees similar to the Steering Group structure operating at Garforth. Cllr. Bedford expressed a desire for the new Plan to be more robust regarding environmental matters.

### d. Consultation on Leeds City Council's Proposed Main Modifications to the Remitted part of the Site Allocations Plan (SAP).

The SAP does not take into account the Government announcement last month regarding house building in urban areas which looks to increase the numbers in each district by about 35%. LCC can't confirm the SAP until they have addressed this. A recent decision in the High Court means that LCC cannot allocate green belt sites for housing unless it can demonstrate exceptional circumstances exist. However, prior to the

Government's recent announcement, the revised projected housing need in Leeds had reduced and as a result no additional sites were required in the Plan to replace the green belt sites. However, it remains to be seen how Leeds decide to address this new government requirement and this may result in current safeguarded sites being brought forward for development in the amended Site Allocations Plan. Cllr. Bedford was putting together a response on behalf of the Save Parlington group which he would share with the Committee and it was **resolved** that the Parish Council response be informed by the Save Parlington group comments, all in favour. A response from the Committee will ensure continued communications.

**e. Action Tracker for the Planning Committee and other related matters.**

It was noted that all actions from the last Planning Committee meeting as shown on the tracker had been completed apart from one subject to the Neighbourhood Plan review.

**6. DATE OF NEXT MEETING** – 15<sup>th</sup> February 2021 – by remote access unless advised otherwise.

The meeting closed at 8:10pm

Signed

Chair

15<sup>th</sup> February 2021